



A REPAIR REPLACEMENT PROBLEM FOR COLD STANDBY SYSTEM USING ALPHA SERIES AND GEOMETRIC PROCESS

Statistics

Dr. B. Venkata Ramudu

Lecturer in Statistics, Govt. Degree College, Uravakonda, Anantapuramu- 515812, A.P, India.

ABSTRACT

The present paper studies a cold standby repairable system consisting of two identical components namely component 1, component 2 and one repairman is studied. Assume that each component after repair is not 'as good as new' and also the successive working times form a decreasing α -series process, the successive repair time's form an increasing geometric process and both the processes are exposing to exponential failure law. Under these assumptions we study an optimal replacement policy N in which we replace the system when the number of failures of component 1 reaches N . It can be determined that an optimal repair replacement policy N^* such that the long run average cost per unit time is minimized. It can also be derived an explicit expression of the long-run average cost and the corresponding optimal replacement policy N^* can be determined analytically. Numerical results are provided to support the theoretical results.

KEYWORDS

Renewal reward theorem, Mean time to failure, long-run average cost per unit time, geometric process, Alpha- Series process.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many replacement models were developed under the assumption that the system after repair is "as good as new". This leads to a perfect repair model. But it is not always true for deteriorating systems due to ageing and accumulated wear. In this direction Barlow and Hunter [1] developed a minimal repair model in which the minimal repair does not change the age of the system.

Brown and Proschan [3] proposed an imperfect repair model under which the repair will be perfect repair with probability 'p' and with probability '(1-p)' as a minimal repair. Much research work has been carried out by Block et al [4-5] and others have also worked in this direction. It is reasonable to assume that the successive working times of the deteriorating systems after repair will become shorter and shorter, while the consecutive repair time of the system will become longer and longer. Finally it can't work any longer, neither can be repaired. To model such a deteriorating repairable system Lam [7-8] first introduced a geometric process repair model in which he studied two kinds of replacement policies, one based on the working age T of the system and the other based on the failure number N of the system. He derived an explicit expression for the long run average cost per unit time under these two kinds of policies and also proved optimal policy N^* is better than the optimal policy T^* . Stadje and Zuckerman [10] presented a general monotone process to generalize Lam's work. Later, much research work has been carried out by using geometric process to generalize Lam's work and corresponding optimal replacement policies were developed by Wang and Zhang [14-15]. Many optimal replacement policies were also developed for cold standby repairable systems using geometric processes see Venkata Ramudu [12-13] Stanely [11] and Zhang and Wang [16].

Zhang and Wang [17] considered a cold standby repairable system consisting of two identical components and one repairman. He developed two kinds of repair replacement policies, one based on the working age T of component 1 under which the system is replaced when working age of component 1 reaches T and the other based on failure number N of component 1 under which the system is replaced when the failure number of component 1 reaches N . He derived an explicit expression for long-run average cost per unit time of the system under these two kinds of policies.

However the geometric process is more useful model for deteriorating system, Braun et al [6] introduced an alternative model, the α -series process, which contributes these characteristics. Furthermore Braun et al [6] explained the increasing geometric process grows at most logarithmically in time, while the decreasing geometric process is almost certain to have a time of explosion. The α -series process grows either as a polynomial in time or exponential in time. It also noted that the geometric process doesn't satisfy a central limit theorem, while the α -series process does. Braun et al [6] also presented that both the increasing geometric process and the α -series process have a finite first moment under certain general conditions. However the decreasing geometric process usually has an infinite first moment under certain conditions. Thus the decreasing α -series process may be more

appropriate for modeling system working times while the increasing geometric process is more suitable for modeling repair times of the system.

Based on this understanding the present paper studies a cold standby repairable system consisting of two identical components namely component 1, component 2 and one repairman is studied. Assume that each component after repair is not 'as good as new' and also the successive working times form a decreasing α -series process, the successive repair time's form an increasing geometric process and both the processes are exposing to exponential failure law. Under these assumptions we study an optimal replacement policy N in which we replace the system when the number of failures of component 1 reaches N .

It can be determined that an optimal repair replacement policy N^* such that the long run average cost per unit time is minimized. It can also be derived an explicit expression of the long-run average cost and the corresponding optimal replacement policy N^* can be determined analytically. Numerical results are provided to support the theoretical results.

In modeling these deteriorating systems, the definitions according to Lam [7-8], are given below.

Definition 1:

Given two random variables X and Y , if $P(X > t) > P(Y > t)$ for all real t , then X is called stochastically larger than Y or Y is stochastically less than X . This is denoted by $X >_{st} Y$ or $Y <_{st} X$ respectively.

Definition 2:

Assume that $\{Y_n, n=1,2,\dots\}$, is a sequence of independent non-negative random variables. If the distribution function of X_n is $F_n(t) = F(a^{-n}t)$ for some $a > 0$ and all $n=1,2,3,\dots$, then $\{Y_n, n=1,2,\dots\}$ is called a geometric process, 'a' is the ratio of the geometric process.

Obviously:

- if $a > 1$, then $\{Y_n, n=1,2,\dots\}$ is stochastically decreasing, i.e, $Y_n >_{st} Y_{n+1}, n=1,2,\dots$;
- if $0 < a < 1$, then $\{Y_n, n=1,2,\dots\}$ is stochastically increasing, i.e, $Y_n <_{st} Y_{n+1}, n=1,2,\dots$;
- if $a=1$, then the geometric process becomes a renewal process.

Definition 3:

Assume that $\{X_n, n=1,2,\dots\}$, is a sequence of independent non-negative random variables. If the distribution function of X_n is for some $\alpha > 0$ and all $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ then $\{X_n, n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is called a α series process, α is called exponent of the process. Braun et al [6].

Obviously:

- if $\alpha > 0$, then $\{X_n, n=1,2,\dots\}$ is stochastically decreasing, i.e, $X_n >_{st} X_{n+1}, n=1,2,\dots$;
- if $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $\{X_n, n=1,2,\dots\}$ is stochastically increasing, i.e., $X_n <_{st} X_{n+1}, n=1,2,\dots$;

- if $\theta=0$, then the series process becomes a renewal process.

2. The Model

In this section, an optimal replacement policy N for a cold standby repairable system using geometric process exposing to exponential failure law is studied under the following assumptions:

Assumptions:

1. At the beginning two components are good. The component 1 works while component 2 is under cold standby.
2. As soon as the working component fails, it is immediately repaired by the repairman. At the same time, standby one begins to work. When the failed one has been repaired, it either begins to work again or becomes cold standby. If one fails another is still under repair, it must wait for repair and the system breaks down.
3. The replacement time is negligible.
4. Each component after repair is not 'as good as new'.
5. The time interval between the completion of the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ repair and the completion of the n^{th} repair on component 'i' is called n^{th} cycle of component i, for $i=1, 2$ and $n=1, 2, \dots$
6. Let $X_i^{(n)}$ and $Y_i^{(n)}$ are all independent, for $i=1, 2$ and $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$
7. Let $X_i^{(n)}$ and $Y_i^{(n)}$ be successive working time follows decreasing a α -series process, the successive repair times form an increasing geometric process respectively and both the processes are exposing to exponential failure law. Where $i=1, 2$ and $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$
8. Let $F(a^{-1}x)$ and $G(b^{-1}y)$ be the distribution function of $X_i^{(n)}$ and $Y_i^{(n)}$ and respectively, for $i=1, 2$ and $n=1, 2, \dots$ where $a > 1$ and $0 < b < 1$.
9. $E(X_i^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{k^\alpha}$ and $E(Y_i^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{b^{k-1}}$ for $i=1, 2$
10. $E(X_i^{(1)}) = \lambda$ and $E(Y_i^{(1)}) = \mu$, for $i=1, 2$.
11. The cold standby state and nearest working state have the same distribution. Similarly the waiting time for repair state and repair period have the same distribution.
12. The component in the system can't produce the working reward while in cold standby state, and no cost is incurred during waiting for repair.
13. The repair cost rate of the each component is C_r , the working reward rate of each component is C_w , and the replacement cost of the system is C .

Under these assumptions, an explicit expression for the long-run average cost per unit time and optimal solution for obtaining number of failures (N), which minimizes the long-run average cost per unit time, is discussed in the next section.

3. The Long-run Average Cost Rate Under Policy N

There are two kinds of repair replacement policies: one based on the working age T of component 1 under which we replace the system when the working age of component 1 reaches T and the other based on the failure number (N) of component 1 under which we replace the system when failure number of component 1 reaches N . But here the replacement policy N is considered because it is very effective and easy to implement.

Thus number of failures of component 1 reaches N , then component 2 is either under working state or under waiting for repair state in the N^{th} cycle. Naturally, the farmer works until failure in the N^{th} cycle. The latter is not repaired any more in the N^{th} cycle, while component 1 works in the $(N+1)^{\text{th}}$ cycle.

Let T_n ($n \geq 2$) be the time between the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ replacement and the n^{th} replacement of the system under policy N . Clearly $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$ form a renewal process and the inter arrival time between two consecutive replacements is called renewal cycle.

According to renewal reward theorem Ross[9], the long-run average cost rate under policy N is:

$$C(N) = \frac{\text{The expected cost incurred in a renewal cycle}}{\text{The expected length of the renewal cycle}} \quad (3.1)$$

Let L be the length of renewal cycle of the system under policy N , then

$$L = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} X_k^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^N Y_k^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[Y_{k-1}^{(2)} - X_k^{(1)} I_{\{Y_{k-1}^{(2)} - X_k^{(1)} > 0\}} \right] + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)} I_{\{X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)} > 0\}} \right], \quad (3.2)$$

where the first, second, third and fourth terms respectively working age, repair time, waiting for repair and cold standby time of component 1 and where I is an indicator random variable such that

$$I_A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if event A occurs.} \\ 0 & \text{if event A doesn't occurs.} \end{cases}$$

Now we find expected value of renewal cycle length L , under the assumptions of the model.

$$E(L) = E \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} X_k^{(1)} \right] + E \left[\sum_{k=1}^N Y_k^{(1)} \right] + E \left[\sum_{k=1}^N \left[Y_{k-1}^{(2)} - X_k^{(1)} I_{\{Y_{k-1}^{(2)} - X_k^{(1)} > 0\}} \right] \right] + E \left[\sum_{k=1}^N \left[X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)} I_{\{X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)} > 0\}} \right] \right] \quad (3.3)$$

Now the expected length of working time can be obtained as follows:

$$\text{Let } X_k^{(i)} \sim \exp(\lambda) \text{ for } k=1, 2, 3, \dots, \text{ and } i=1, 2.$$

Then the distribution function of $X_k^{(i)}$, for $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $i=1, 2$ is:

$$F_k(x) = F(k^\alpha x) = 1 - e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}}, \quad x > 0, \lambda > 0 \quad (3.4)$$

By definition the expected length of working time is:

$$E(X_k^{(i)}) = \int_0^\infty x dF(k^\alpha x) \quad i=1, 2. \quad (3.5)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{k^\alpha}, \text{ where } i=1, 2. \quad (3.6)$$

The expected length of repair time of component 1 can be obtained as follows:

Let $Y_i^{(n)} \sim \exp(\mu)$ then the distribution function of $Y_k^{(i)}$ for $i=1, 2$, and $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$, is:

$$F_k(y) = F(b^{k-1}y) = 1 - e^{-\frac{y}{\mu}}, \quad y > 0, \mu > 0 \quad (3.7)$$

By definition, the expected length of repair time is:

$$E(Y_k^{(i)}) = \int_0^\infty y dF(b^{k-1}y) \quad i=1, 2. \\ = \frac{\mu}{b^{k-1}}, \quad i=1, 2. \quad (3.8)$$

The expected length of waiting time for repair can be computed as follows:

Let $g(u)$ be the probability density function of $u = Y_{k-1}^{(2)} - X_k^{(1)}$ then by definition of probability density function and using Jacobian transformation we have:

$$g(u) = \int_0^\infty f(v, u+v) dv, \\ \text{Where } X_k^{(1)} = v, Y_{k-1}^{(2)} = u+v, \text{ such that } u = Y_{k-1}^{(2)} - X_k^{(1)} \quad (3.9)$$

Since $X_k^{(1)}$ and $Y_{k-1}^{(2)}$ are all independent, for $i=1, 2$ and $k=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

$$g(u) = \int_0^\infty f(v) \cdot f(u+v) dv. \quad (3.10)$$

From equations (3.9) and (3.10) we have:

$$g(u) = \frac{k^\alpha b^{k-2} \lambda \mu}{k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-2} \mu} e^{-k^\alpha \lambda u}, \quad \text{for } u \geq 0 \quad (3.11)$$

Let,

$$E \left[Y_{k-1}^{(2)} - X_k^{(1)} I_{\{Y_{k-1}^{(2)} - X_k^{(1)} > 0\}} \right] = \int_0^\infty u g(u) du, \\ = \int_0^\infty u \frac{k^\alpha b^{k-2} \lambda \mu}{k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-2} \mu} e^{-k^\alpha \lambda u} du \\ = \frac{k^\alpha \lambda}{b^{k-2} \mu (k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-2} \mu)}, \quad \text{for } k > 2. \quad (3.13)$$

Similarly, the expected length of cold standby time can be computed as follows:

$$E \left[X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)} I_{\{X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)} > 0\}} \right] = \int_0^\infty v g(v) dv \quad (3.14)$$

Where $g(v)$ be the p.d.f of $v = X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)}$. By definition of p.d.f and using Jacobian Transformation we have:

$$g(v) = \int_0^\infty f(u+v, u) du \quad (3.15)$$

$$\text{where } X_k^{(2)} = u+v, Y_k^{(1)} = u \text{ such that } v = X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)}. \quad (3.16)$$

Since, $X_k^{(2)}$ and $Y_k^{(1)}$ for $i=1, 2$ are all independent and form a geometric process,

$$g(v) = \int_0^\infty f(u+v) \cdot f(u) du. \quad (3.17)$$

Using equations (3.15) and (3.17), we get:

$$g(v) = \frac{k^\alpha b^{k-2} \lambda \mu}{k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-2} \mu} e^{-k^\alpha \lambda v}, \quad \text{for } v \geq 0 \quad (3.18)$$

From equations (3.15) and (3.18), we have:

$$E \left[X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)} I_{\{X_k^{(2)} - Y_k^{(1)} > 0\}} \right] = \int_0^\infty v g(v) dv$$

$$= \frac{b^{k-1} \mu}{k^\alpha \lambda (k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-1} \mu)} \tag{3.19}$$

Using the equations (3.6), (3.8), (3.13) and (3.19) ,equation (3.3) becomes:

$$E(L) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda}{k^\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu}{b^{k-1}} + \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{k^\alpha \lambda}{\mu (k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-1} \mu)} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{b^{k-1} \mu}{k^\alpha \lambda (k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-1} \mu)} \tag{3.20}$$

Using equations (3.6), (3.8), and (3.20), we have:

$$C(N) = \frac{C_r E \left[\sum_{k=1}^N Y_k^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} Y_k^{(2)} \right] + C - C_r E \left[\sum_{k=1}^N X_k^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} X_k^{(2)} \right]}{E(L)}$$

From equations (3.6), (3.8) and (3.20), we have:

$$C(N) = \frac{C_r \mu \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{b^{k-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^\alpha} \right) - C_r \lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^\alpha} \right) + C}{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\lambda}{k^\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu}{b^{k-1}} + \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{k^\alpha \lambda}{\mu (k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-1} \mu)} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{b^{k-1} \mu}{k^\alpha \lambda (k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-1} \mu)}} \tag{3.21}$$

This is the long run average cost per unit time under policy N.

Where
$$l_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k^\alpha} \quad l_2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{b^{k-1}}$$

$$l_3 = \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{1}{b^{k-1}}, \quad l_4 = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{b^{k-1}}$$

$$l_5 = \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \frac{k^\alpha \lambda}{b^{k-2} \mu (k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-2} \mu)} \quad \& \quad l_6 = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{b^{k-1} \mu}{k^\alpha \lambda (k^\alpha \lambda + b^{k-1} \mu)}$$

Using this C (N), the optimal replacement policy N* is determined by numerical methods such that C(N*) is minimized.The next section provides some numerical results to highlight the obtained theoretical results.

4. Numerical Results And Conclusions

For the given hypothetical values of the parameters of λ, μ, α, b, C_r, C_w, C, and C_h the values of long-run average cost per unit time are calculated from the expression (2..3.24) as follows:

Table 4.1: Values of the long-run average cost rate under policy N.

	λ =10, μ=20, α=0.45, C=3000, Cw=10 Cr=50 b=0.85	λ =10, μ=20, α=0.45, C=3000, Cw=10 Cr=50 b=0.75	λ =10, μ=20, b=0.55, C=3000, Cw=10 Cr=50 at α=0.85	λ =10, μ=20, b=0.55, C=3000, Cw=10 Cr=50 at α=0.95
N	C(N)	C(N)	C(N)	C(N)
2	86.05883	84.44675	85.18004	86.21693
3	82.03035	80.26599	79.34558	80.13067
4	80.83704	79.32042	77.51138	78.06626
5	80.76468	79.58738	77.01976	77.39301
6	81.19815	80.33242	76.97705	77.21899
7	81.86819	81.23691	77.06077	77.21327
8	82.64165	82.15073	77.15886	77.25296
9	83.44743	83.00255	77.2385	77.29562
10	84.24582	83.7614	77.29512	77.32935
11	85.01423	84.41778	77.33271	77.35302
12	85.74007	84.97379	77.35665	77.3686
13	86.41673	85.43738	77.37149	77.37848
14	87.04142	85.81917	77.38051	77.38457
15	87.61375	86.13043	77.38591	77.38826
16	88.13486	86.3821	77.38912	77.39047
17	88.60689	86.58418	77.391	77.39177
18	89.03257	86.74548	77.39209	77.39254
19	89.41496	86.87359	77.39273	77.39297
20	92.78445	87.41349	77.39412	77.39413

CONCLUSIONS:

- From the table 4.1 ,we can observe that the long-run average cost per unit time at the time C (6) = **81.19815** is minimum at b=0.85. We should replace the system at the time of 6th failure.
- From the table 4.1, we examine that the long-run average cost per unit time at the time C (4) = **79.32042**is minimum at b=0.75. We should replace the system at the time of 4th failure. Thus ,we can conclude that the value of 'b' the number of failures N* and the long-run average cost per unit time are positively related.
- From this, we can say that as 'b' increases number of failures

increases, while 'α' decreases an increase in the number of failure, which coincides with the practical analogy and helps the decision maker for making an appropriate decision.

- If the repairman experiences with repair then the successive repair times form a decreasing geometric process, while the consecutive working times form an increasing alpha process. Thus this model can also be applied for an improved model.

REFERENCES:

[1] Barlow, R.E and Hunter, L.C, 'Optimum Preventive Maintenance Policies', Operations Research, Vol. 08, pp.90-100, 1959.

[2] Barlow, R.E and Proschan,F., 'Mathematical theory of reliability, Wiley, New York, 1965.

[3] Brown,M., and Proschan,F., 'Imperfect Repair', Journal of Applied Probability, Vol.20, PP 851-859, 1983.

[4] Block, H.W., Borges, W.S., and Savits, T.H., 'Age-dependent minimal Repair', Journal of Applied probability, Vol.22, pp 370-385,1983.

[5] Block, H.W., Lanberg, N.A., and Savits, T.H., 'Repair replacement Policies', Journal of Applied probability, Vol.34, pp 194-206,1993.

[6] Braun W.J, Li Wei and Zhao Y.Q, 'Properties of the geometric and related process', Naval Research Logistics, Vol.52, pp.607-617, 2005.

[7] Lam Yeh., 'Geometric Processes and Replacement Problems', Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, Vol.4, pp 366-377,1988 a.

[8] Lam Yeh., 'A Note on the Optimal Replacement Problem', Advanced Applied Probability, Vol.20, pp 479-482,1988 b.

[9] Ross, S.M., 'Applied Probability Models with optimization Applications', San Francisco, Holden-Day, 1970.

[10] Stadje,W and Zuckerman D, 'Optimal repair policies with general degree of repair in two maintenance models', Operations Research Letters, Vol.11, pp.77-80, 1992.

[11] Stanely, A.D.J., 'On Geometric Processes and Repair Replacement Problems', Microelectronics Reliability, Vol.33, pp.489-491, 1993.

[12] Venkata Ramudu,B., and Krishna Reddy ,Y., 'An Optimal Arithmetico-Geometric process Replacement Problem for a two unit cold standby Repairable system',International journal Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol.6(1),pp.13-22, 2011.

[13] Venkata Ramudu,B., and Krishna Reddy ,Y., 'Optimal Replacement policy for a Single unit cold standby system using Arithmetic-geometric process',International Journal of Statistics and Analysis, Vol.1(1),pp.71-83, 2011.

[14] Wang G.J and Zhang Y.L, 'Optimal periodic preventive repair and replacement policy assuming geometric process repair', IEEE Transactions on Reliability, Vol. 55, pp.118-121, 2006.

[15] Wang,G.J., and Zhang, Y.L., 'An optimal replacement policy for a two component series system assuming geometric process repair', computers and Mathematics with applications, Vol.54, pp.192-202, 2007.

[16] Zhang,Y.L. and Guan Jun Wang, 'A geometric process repair model for a repairable cold standby system with priority in use and repair', Reliability Engineering and System Safety, vol. 94 ,pp.1782-1787, 2009.

[17] Zhang,Y.L., and Guan Jun Wang, 'An optimal repair-replacement policy for a cold standby system with use priority', Applied Mathematical Modelling, vol.35, pp.1222-1230, 2011.